

THE CONE OF BETTI TABLES OVER A RATIONAL NORMAL CURVE

MANOJ KUMMINI AND STEVEN V SAM

ABSTRACT. We describe the cone of Betti tables of Cohen–Macaulay modules over the homogeneous coordinate ring of a rational normal curve.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of the cone generated by the graded Betti tables of finitely generated modules over graded rings has received much attention recently. (See Definition 2.1 for the relevant definitions.) This began with a conjectural description of this cone in the case of polynomial rings by M. Boij and J. Söderberg [BS1] which was proved by D. Eisenbud and F.-O. Schreyer [ES1]. We refer to [ES2, Flo] for a survey of this development and related results. Similarly, in the local case, there is a description of the cone of Betti sequences over regular local rings [BEKS].

However, not much is known about the cone of Betti tables over other graded rings, or over non-regular local rings. The cone of Betti tables for rings of the form $\mathbb{k}[x, y]/q(x, y)$ where q is a homogeneous quadric is described in [BBEG]. In the local hypersurface case, [BEKS] gives some partial results and some asymptotic results.

In this paper, we consider the coordinate ring of a rational normal curve. These rings are of finite Cohen–Macaulay representation type, and the syzygies of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules have a simple description; see Discussion 2.2. Our main result is Theorem 4.1, describing the cone generated by finite-length modules over such a ring. Remark 4.8 explains how the argument extends to Cohen–Macaulay modules of higher depth. In Remark 5.1, we consider the cone generated by sequences of total Betti numbers, and get a picture reminiscent of the case of regular local rings from [BEKS].

We thank Jerzy Weyman for helpful discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathbb{k}$ be a field, which we fix for the rest of the article.

Definition 2.1. Let R be any Noetherian graded $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra. For a finitely generated R -module M , define its **graded Betti numbers** $\beta_{i,j}^R(M) := \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\mathbb{k}, M)_j$. Let $t = \operatorname{pdim}(R) + 1$. The **Betti table** of M is

$$\beta^R(M) := \left(\beta_{i,j}^R(M) \right)_{\substack{0 \leq i < t, \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}}},$$

which is an element of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space

$$\mathbb{V}_R := \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < t} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

Date: January 29, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13D02; 13C05.

Key words and phrases. Graded Betti numbers, rational normal curve.

MK was partially supported by a CMI Faculty Development Grant; SVS was supported by a Miller research fellowship. Additionally, both authors thank Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley, where they were members during Fall 2012 when part of this work was done.

The **cone of Betti tables** over R is the cone $\mathbb{B}(R)$ generated by the rays $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \cdot \beta^R(M)$ inside $\mathbb{V}_R$. $\square$

Let $S = \mathbb{k}[x, y]$. Fix $d \geq 2$. We will study the Veronese subring $B = \bigoplus_n S_{nd} \subset S$, i.e., the homogeneous coordinate ring of the rational normal curve of degree d . For a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$, define

$$\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(j)).$$

Also, for a finitely generated B -module M , let $\widetilde{M}$ be the associated coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Note that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \Gamma_*(\widetilde{M}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M) \rightarrow 0$$

where $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i$ denotes local cohomology with respect to the homogeneous maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset B$ [IL⁺, Theorem 13.21] and hence the map $M \rightarrow \Gamma_*(\widetilde{M})$ is an isomorphism if (and only if) M is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay module by [IL⁺, Theorem 9.1].

Discussion 2.2 (Maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules over B). The irreducible maximal Cohen–Macaulay B -modules are exactly the modules

$$M^{(\ell)} := \bigoplus_n S_{nd+\ell}, \text{ for } \ell = 0, \dots, d-1.$$

To see this, let M be a maximal Cohen–Macaulay B -module. Then $\widetilde{M}$ is a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$, and by Grothendieck’s theorem, every vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is a direct sum of line bundles. Note that $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{O}(i)) = M^{(\ell)}$ if $i \equiv \ell \pmod{d}$ and $0 \leq \ell < d$. Since $\Gamma_*(\widetilde{M}) \cong M$, we conclude that M is a direct sum of the $M^{(\ell)}$ for various ℓ .

For each $0 \leq \ell \leq d-1$, $M^{(\ell)}$ is minimally generated by $\ell+1$ homogeneous elements of degree 0. For $1 \leq \ell \leq d-1$, the first syzygy module of $M^{(\ell)}$ is $(M^{(d-1)}(-1))^\ell$. $\square$

3. PURE RESOLUTIONS

Definition 3.1. We say that a finite length B -module M has a **pure resolution** if there is a minimal exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where each F_i is generated in a single degree d_i , the modules F_0, F_1 are free, and $F_2 = M^{(\ell)}(-d_2)^{\oplus r}$ for some ℓ and r . In this case, we call $(d_0, d_1, d_2; \ell)$ the degree sequence of M .

We remark that $\ell = 0$ means that the module has finite projective dimension. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *If M has a pure resolution of type $(d_0, d_1, d_2; \ell)$, then its Betti numbers are determined up to scalar multiple. In particular, they are determined by the first 3 Betti numbers $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)$, which is a multiple of*

$$\beta^B(d_0, d_1, d_2; \ell) = (d(d_2 - d_1) - \ell, d(d_2 - d_0) - \ell, d(d_1 - d_0)(\ell + 1))$$

Proof. The Hilbert series of B is $H_B(t) = \frac{1+(d-1)t}{(1-t)^2}$. Suppose that M is a finite length module with pure resolution of type $(d_0, d_1, d_2; \ell)$. By Discussion 2.2, M has a free resolution of the form

$$\dots \rightarrow B(-d_4)^{\beta_4} \rightarrow B(-d_2 - 1)^{\beta_2 d \ell / (\ell + 1)} \rightarrow B(-d_2)^{\beta_2} \rightarrow B(-d_1)^{\beta_1} \rightarrow B(-d_0)^{\beta_0}.$$

For $i > 3$, we have $d_i = d_{i-1} + 1 = d_2 + (i - 2)$ and $\beta_i = (d - 1)\beta_{i-1} = (d - 1)^{i-3}\beta_2 d\ell/(\ell + 1)$. Taking the alternating sum, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_M(t) &= \beta_0 t^{d_0} H_B(t) - \beta_1 t^{d_1} H_B(t) + \beta_2 t^{d_2} H_B(t) + \beta_2 \frac{d\ell}{\ell + 1} t^{d_2} H_B(t) \sum_{i \geq 3} (-1)^i (d - 1)^{i-3} t^{i-2} \\ &= \frac{(\beta_0 t^{d_0} - \beta_1 t^{d_1} + \beta_2 t^{d_2})(1 + (d - 1)t)}{(1 - t)^2} - \beta_2 \frac{d\ell}{\ell + 1} t^{d_2+1} \frac{1 + (d - 1)t}{(1 - t)^2} \frac{1}{1 - (1 - d)t} \\ &= \frac{(\beta_0 t^{d_0} - \beta_1 t^{d_1} + \beta_2 t^{d_2})(1 + (d - 1)t) - \frac{d\ell}{\ell + 1} \beta_2 t^{d_2+1}}{(1 - t)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $H_M(t)$ is a polynomial, the numerator $h(t)$ of the last expression is divisible by $(1 - t)^2$. This translates to $h(1) = h'(1) = 0$ (where h' is the derivative with respect to t), which gives two linearly independent conditions. So $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is determined up to simultaneous scalar multiple, and it is straightforward to check that $\beta^B(d_0, d_1, d_2; \ell)$ is a valid solution. $\square$

Since it will be used later, we record a relation amongst these pure Betti tables

$$(3.3) \quad \beta^B(d_0, d_1, d_2; \ell) = \left(1 - \frac{\ell}{d - 1}\right) \beta^B(d_0, d_1, d_2; 0) + \frac{\ell}{d - 1} \beta^B(d_0, d_1, d_2; d - 1).$$

This relation extends to all of the Betti numbers since the later Betti numbers are multiples of β_2 .

4. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 4.1. *The extremal rays of the subcone of $\mathbb{B}(B)$ generated by the Betti tables of finite length modules are spanned by Betti tables of modules with pure resolutions of type $(d_0, d_1, d_2; \ell)$ where $d_0 < d_1 < d_2$ and $\ell = 0$ or $\ell = d - 1$;*

The proof will be given at the end of the section. The idea is to embed this cone as a certain quotient cone of $\mathbb{B}(S)$ and to deduce the result from [ES1].

Let M be a finite length B -module. Let $(F_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ be a minimal graded B -free resolution of M ; then $F_i = \bigoplus_j B(-j)^{\beta_{i,j}^B(M)}$. Note that $\text{Im } \partial_2$ is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay B -module; by Discussion 2.2, we may write

$$\text{Im } \partial_2 = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (M^{(\ell)}(-j))^{b_{\ell,j}(M)},$$

for some integers $b_{\ell,j}(M)$. Hence

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Im } \partial_3 = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (M^{(d-1)}(-j-1))^{s_j} \text{ where } s_j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \ell b_{\ell,j}(M).$$

Sheafifying the complex $0 \rightarrow \text{Im } \partial_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0$, we get the locally free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}(-jd + \ell)^{b_{\ell,j}(M)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}(-jd)^{\beta_{1,j}^B(M)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}(-jd)^{\beta_{0,j}^B(M)}$$

of $\widetilde{M} = 0$ over $\mathbb{P}^1$. Applying Γ_* to this complex, we get the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S(-jd + \ell)^{b_{\ell,j}(M)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S(-jd)^{\beta_{1,j}^B(M)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S(-jd)^{\beta_{0,j}^B(M)},$$

which is acyclic by [Eis, Lemma 20.11], and hence a resolution of an S -module, which we denote by M' . This resolution is minimal, and M' is a finite length module. It follows that

$$(4.3) \quad \beta_{i,j}^S(M') = \begin{cases} \beta_{i,j/d}^B(M), & \text{if } i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ and } d \mid j, \\ b_{d\lceil j/d \rceil - j, \lceil j/d \rceil}(M), & \text{if } i = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note, parenthetically, that the association $M \mapsto M'$ is functorial.

Since $M^{(\ell)}$ is minimally generated as a B -module by $\ell + 1$ elements, we get relations

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_{2,j}^B(M) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} (\ell + 1) b_{\ell,j}(M) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} (\ell + 1) \beta_{2,jd-\ell}^S(M'), \text{ and,} \\ \beta_{3,j+1}^B(M) &= d \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \ell b_{\ell,j}(M) = d \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \ell \beta_{2,jd-\ell}^S(M'). \end{aligned}$$

From these, we obtain another relation

$$(4.5) \quad d\beta_{2,j}^B(M) - \beta_{3,j+1}^B(M) = d \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \beta_{2,jd-\ell}^S(M').$$

We would like to say that the functorial correspondence $M \mapsto M'$ descends to a combinatorial map on Betti tables $\beta^B(M) \mapsto \beta^S(M')$. Unfortunately, $\beta^B(M)$ does not capture enough information about M to uniquely determine $\beta^S(M')$ (one needs the finer invariants $b_{\ell,j}(M)$), so such a map does not exist. There is an easy solution though: we can define an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{B}(S)$ to account for the fact that the sums on the right hand sides of (4.4) and (4.5) are uniquely determined by $\beta^B(M)$. Then $\beta^S(M')$, under this equivalence relation, is well-defined since the equivalence relation captures all possible choices for the $b_{\ell,j}(M)$. We record this discussion now.

Notation 4.6. Define an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{B}(S)$ by $\gamma \sim \gamma'$ if

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \gamma_{2,jd-\ell} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \gamma'_{2,jd-\ell} \text{ and } \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \ell \gamma_{2,jd-\ell} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \ell \gamma'_{2,jd-\ell} \text{ for all } j.$$

Write $\mathbb{B}(S)/\sim$ for the set of equivalence classes under this relation. Equivalently, $\mathbb{B}(S)/\sim$ is the image of $\mathbb{B}(S)$ under the quotient map $\mathbb{V}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_S/W$ where W is the subspace

$$\left\{ \gamma \in \mathbb{V}_S : \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \gamma_{2,jd-\ell} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \ell \gamma_{2,jd-\ell} = 0 \right\}.$$

Let $\phi: \mathbb{B}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(S)/\sim$ be the following map: for $\beta \in \mathbb{B}(B)$, $\phi(\beta)$ is the class of $\gamma \in \mathbb{B}(S)$ where γ is such that

- (a) $\gamma_{i,j} = \beta_{i,j/d}$ if $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $d \mid j$.
- (b) $\sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} (\ell + 1) \gamma_{2,jd-\ell} = \beta_{2,j}$ and $\sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \ell \gamma_{2,jd-\ell} = \frac{1}{d} \beta_{3,j+1}$ for all j .
- (c) $\gamma_{i,j} = 0$ if $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $d \nmid j$ or if $i \geq 3$. □

Note that $\phi(\beta^B(M)) \sim \beta^S(M')$.

Lemma 4.7. *The map ϕ is injective. Its image is generated by the classes of the Betti tables over S of degree sequences of the form $(da_0 < da_1 < a_2)$.*

Proof. Let $\beta, \beta' \in \mathbb{B}(B)$. Set $\gamma = \phi(\beta)$, $\gamma' = \phi(\beta')$. If $\gamma \sim \gamma'$, then $\beta_{i,j} = \beta'_{i,j}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq 3$ and for all j . To show that ϕ is injective, it is enough to know that for any graded B -module M , $(\beta_{i,j}^B(M))_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq 3 \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}}}$, determines $\beta^B(M)$, and this follows from Discussion 2.2 and the description of $\text{Im } \partial_3$ in (4.2).

Now we describe the image of ϕ . Let a_0, a_1, a_2 be integers such that $da_0 < da_1 < a_2$. Let N be a finite length graded S -module with pure resolution with degree sequence $(da_0 < da_1 < a_2)$. Let $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} N_{dn}$. Then M is a finite length graded B -module. Take a minimal S -free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow S(-a_2)^{\beta_{2,a_2}^S(N)} \rightarrow S(-da_1)^{\beta_{1,da_1}^S(N)} \rightarrow S(-da_0)^{\beta_{0,da_0}^S(N)}$$

of N . Restricting this complex to degrees nd for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we see that

$$b_{\ell,j}(M) = \begin{cases} \beta_{2,a_2}^S(N), & \text{if } jd - \ell = a_2 \text{ with } 0 \leq \ell \leq d-1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and that, for $i = 0, 1$, $\beta_{i,j}^B(M) = \beta_{i,jd}^S(N)$. Note that $N = M'$, so the class of $\beta^S(N)$ is in $\text{Im } \phi$. The converse inclusion, that $\text{Im } \phi$ is inside the cone generated by the classes of the Betti tables over S of degree sequences of the form $(da_0 < da_1 < a_2)$ follows from noting that for all B -modules M , $\beta^S(M')$ has a decomposition into pure Betti tables of this form [ES1, §1]. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The proof of Lemma 4.7 shows that finite length modules with pure resolutions of type $(d_0, d_1, d_2; \ell)$ exist for all $d_0 < d_1 < d_2$ and $\ell = 0, \dots, d-1$. Furthermore, under the map ϕ , they map to the generators of $\mathbb{B}(B)$. To finish, we use the relations (3.3). $\square$

Remark 4.8. We can modify Theorem 4.1 to describe the cone of Cohen–Macaulay B -modules of a fixed depth. We have just described the depth 0 case, and the depth 2 case corresponds to maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules, which are easily classified (Discussion 2.2), so the only interesting case remaining is depth 1. In this case, one sheafifies the complex $0 \rightarrow \text{Im } \partial_1 \rightarrow F_0$ and the resulting module M' is Cohen–Macaulay of depth 1 (it has a length 1 resolution, and its Hilbert polynomial is the same as the Hilbert polynomial of M , and hence has dimension 1). The equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathbb{B}(S)$ needs to be changed, but the required changes are straightforward. The end result is that we can define depth 1 Cohen–Macaulay modules with pure resolutions (their type is of the form $(d_0, d_1; \ell)$) and the analogue of Theorem 4.1 holds. $\square$

5. QUESTIONS

1. Unfortunately, our techniques do not allow us to describe the cone $\mathbb{B}(B)$ of all finitely generated B -modules (i.e., allowing those that are not Cohen–Macaulay). Given the situation for polynomial rings [BS2], we might conjecture that $\mathbb{B}(B)$ is the sum (over $c = 0, 1, 2$) of the cones of Betti tables for Cohen–Macaulay B -modules of codimension c . Is this correct?
2. For the polynomial ring, the inequalities that define the facets of its cone of Betti tables has an interpretation in terms of cohomology tables of vector bundles on projective space [ES1, §4]. Are there interpretations for the inequalities that define the cone of finite length B -modules?

Remark 5.1. With reference to Question 1, let us look at the cone $\mathbb{B}_{\text{tot}}(B)$ generated by the total Betti numbers $(b_0(M), b_1(M), b_2(M)) \in \mathbb{Q}^3$ of finitely generated graded B -modules M . Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

such that F_0 and F_1 are free and $\text{Im}(F_1 \rightarrow F_0) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}F_0$. Note that for $i = 0, 1$, $b_i(M) = \text{rank } F_i$ and that $b_2(M) \leq d \text{rank}(F_2)$. By considering the partial Euler characteristics of the above exact sequence, we get three inequalities: $b_2(M) \geq 0$, $b_1(M) - \frac{1}{d}b_2(M) \geq 0$ and $b_0(M) - b_1(M) + \frac{1}{d}b_2(M) \geq 0$. Consider the set

$$\{(b_0, b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^3 : b_2 \geq 0, b_1 - \frac{1}{d}b_2 \geq 0, b_0 - b_1 + \frac{1}{d}b_2 \geq 0\}.$$

This is a convex polyhedral cone, with extremal rays generated by $(1, 0, 0)$, $(1, 1, 0)$ and $(0, 1, d)$. We claim that this is the closure of $\mathbb{B}_{\text{tot}}(B)$; of course, the ray generated by $(0, 1, d)$ does not belong to $\mathbb{B}_{\text{tot}}(B)$. This picture, and the proof below, are analogous to the case of regular local rings [BEKS, Section 2]. The point $(1, 0, 0)$ comes from a free module of rank one, while $(1, 1, 0)$ comes from

$M = B/(f)$ for some non-zero $f \in B$. Now consider modules N_t , $t \geq 1$ with pure resolutions of type $(0, td, td+1; d-1)$. By Proposition 3.2, $(b_0(N_t), b_1(N_t), b_2(N_t))$ is a multiple of $(1, td^2+1, td^3)$, which, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, approaches the ray generated by $(0, 1, d)$. $\square$

Remark 5.2. One might wonder whether a similar argument works for the Veronese embedding $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$, whose homogeneous coordinate ring is the only other Veronese subring with finite Cohen–Macaulay representation type. This turns out not to be the case. In Section 4, we took the sheafification of a resolution $0 \rightarrow \text{Im } \partial_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ of the finite length B -module M by *maximal Cohen–Macaulay* B -modules and, thereafter, applied Γ_* to obtain a minimal S -free resolution of the finite length S -module M' ; the key point is that for a maximal Cohen–Macaulay B -module N , $\Gamma_*(\widetilde{N})$ is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay (hence free) S -module. This is not true for the Veronese embedding $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$.

More specifically, set $S = \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$ and $B = \bigoplus_n S_{2n}$. Then, up to twists, B has three non-isomorphic maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules $M^{(0)} \simeq B$, the canonical module $M^{(1)}$ and the syzygy module $M^{(3)}$ of $M^{(1)}$. The first syzygy of $M^{(\ell)}$ is $M^{(3)\oplus\ell}$, for $\ell = 0, 1, 3$. Therefore any sufficiently high syzygy of any B -module is a direct sum of copies of $M^{(3)}$. However, $\Gamma_*(\widetilde{M^{(3)}})$ is not maximal Cohen–Macaulay over S ; its depth is two. To see this, note that the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M^{(3)} \rightarrow B^3 \rightarrow M^{(1)} \rightarrow 0$ gives the Euler sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \rightarrow 0$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$; it follows that $\Gamma_*(\widetilde{M^{(3)}})$ is the second syzygy of $\mathbb{k}(1)$ as an S -module and has depth two. From this it follows that if we begin with a B -free resolution $(F_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ of a B -module of finite length and apply Γ_* to the sheafification of $0 \rightarrow \text{Im } \partial_3 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0$, the ensuing complex of S -modules has nonzero homology in two positions, and hence is not the resolution of any S -module. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BBEG] C. Berkesch, J. Burke, D. Erman, C. Gibbons, The cone of Betti diagrams over a hypersurface ring of low embedding dimension, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **216** (2012), no. 10, 2256–2268, [arXiv:1109.5198v2](#). 1
- [BEKS] C. Berkesch, D. Erman, M. Kummini, S. V Sam, The shape of free resolutions over local rings, *Math. Ann.* **216** (2012), no. 10, 2256–2268, [arXiv:1105.2204](#). 1, 5
- [BS1] M. Boij, J. Söderberg, Graded Betti numbers of Cohen–Macaulay modules and the multiplicity conjecture, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **78** (2008), no. 1, 85–106, [arXiv:math/0611081v2](#). 1
- [BS2] M. Boij, J. Söderberg, Betti numbers of graded modules and the multiplicity conjecture in the non-Cohen–Macaulay case, *Algebra Number Theory* **6** (2012), no. 3, 437–454, [arXiv:0803.1645v1](#). 5
- [Eis] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View toward Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **150**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. 4
- [ES1] D. Eisenbud, F.-O. Schreyer, Betti numbers of graded modules and cohomology of vector bundles, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (2009), no. 3, 859–888, [arXiv:0712.1843v3](#). 1, 3, 5
- [ES2] D. Eisenbud, F.-O. Schreyer, Boij–Söderberg theory, *Combinatorial aspects of commutative algebra and algebraic geometry*, 35–48, Abel Symp., **6**, Springer, Berlin, 2011. 1
- [Flo] G. Fløystad, Boij–Söderberg theory: Introduction and survey, *Progress in commutative algebra 1*, 1–54, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2012, [arXiv:1106.0381v2](#). 1
- [IL⁺] S. B. Iyengar, G. J. Leuschke, A. Leykin, C. Miller, E. Miller, A. K. Singh, U. Walther, *Twenty-four Hours of Local Cohomology*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **87**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. 2

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SIRUSERI, TAMILNADU 603103, INDIA
E-mail address: mkummini@cmi.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, USA
E-mail address: svsmath@math.berkeley.edu